

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
LITIGATION

Case No. 23-md-03084-CRB

This Document Relates to:

ALL CASES

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER
PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE FILED
UNDER SEAL**

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having considered the Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should be Filed Under Seal, and all materials submitted in support thereof, and other records on file, the Court hereby **GRANTS (IN PART) / DENIES (IN PART)** the Motion and **ORDERS** that the following materials shall be sealed:

Document	Description	Designating Party
Portions of Joint Letter Brief	Portions referring to and describing Exhibit 1 or 2	Uber
Portions of O'Connor Declaration	Parts of the O'Connor Declaration Uber requested be redacted	Uber
Exhibit 1	Uber-produced document bates stamped UBER-MDL3084-DFS00002727	Uber (and Plaintiffs) ¹
Exhibit 2	Uber-produced document bates stamped UBER-MDL3084-00084720	Uber

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____

Hon. Lisa J. Cisneros
United States Magistrate Judge

¹ Because Exhibit 1 contains plaintiff-specific personal information, as well as highly sensitive and private disclosures regarding incidents of sexual assaults, plaintiffs' move separately pursuant to Local Rule 79-5 to seal that exhibit.